UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CONSOLIDATED UNDER CASE NO. 05-10155 PBS

YISEL DEAN, Independent Administratrix of the Estate of STEVEN DEAN, deceased, and on behalf of all statutory beneficiaries, Plaintiff,))))
v. RAYTHEON COMPANY, a Delaware corporation, RAYTHEON AIRCRAFT COMPANY, a Kansas Corporation, RAYTHEON AIRCRAFT CREDIT CORPORATION, a Kansas Corporation, COLGAN AIR, INC., a Virginia Corporation d/b/a US Air Express, Defendants.)))))))))))))))))))
LISA A. WEILER, Administratrix of the Estate of SCOTT A. KNABE, deceased, and on behalf of all statutory beneficiaries, Plaintiff, v. RAYTHEON COMPANY, a Delaware corporation, RAYTHEON AIRCRAFT COMPANY, a Kansas Corporation,)))))))) DOCKET NO: 05cv10364 PBS)
RAYTHEON AIRCRAFT CREDIT CORPORATION, a Kansas Corporation, COLGAN AIR, INC., a Virginia Corporation d/b/a US Air Express, Defendants.))))))))

DEFENDANTS' MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE EVIDENCE OF FAA AIRWORTHINESS CERTIFICATION

The defendants respectfully request that this Court enter an order *in limine* precluding the plaintiffs from challenging the FAA airworthiness certification process for the Beech 1900D. In support hereof, defendants state as follows:

The Federal Aviation Act ("FAA") and its relevant regulations establish complete and thorough safety standards for interstate and international aircraft operation which standards are not subject to supplementation or variation by individual states-either through statutory law, common law, and/or state jury verdicts. See eg. Abdullah vs. American Airlines, Inc., 181 F. 3rd 363 (3rd Cir. 1999) (FAA and relevant regulations preempt state negligence law as to aircraft operation and piloting); Curtin vs. The Port Authority of New York 183 F. Supp. 2nd 664 (S.D.N.Y. 2002) (FAA explicitly preempts state law standards governing aviation safety, flight operations, etc.); Shubert vs. Continential Airlines, Inc., 2004 .S.Dist. Lexis 6214 (S.D.N.Y. 2004) (FAA regulation 14 CFR. §19.13 governing aircraft piloting constitutes federal standard of care replacing any state standard of care concerning air safety): Witty vs. Delta Airlines, Inc., 366 F. 3rd 380 (5th Cir. 2004) (Federal Regulatory requirements for passenger safety warnings and instructions were exclusive and preempted all state standards and requirements); see also, French vs. Pan Am Express Inc., 869 F. 2nd 1 (1st Cir. 1989) (state pilot regulation relating to safety federally preempted); see generally, Heincher v. Volvo Car Corp., 61 Mass. App. Ct. 313, 316 (2004) (tort action has same regulatory effect as state law and therefore can be preempted by federal law).

Any evidence or suggestion by the plaintiffs that the subject aircraft was not properly certified as airworthy or that the defendants obtained the type certificate for the Beech 1900D through means that were "cheap" must be precluded. The undisputed evidence establishes that the accident aircraft was deemed airworthy by the FAA under the standards imposed by the

FAA. Any claim that the aircraft was not certified properly or in complete accordance with FAA standards has no place at the trial of this case. The proper venue for a claim that the aircraft was not properly certified lies with the FAA, as FAA regulations preempt state common and/or statutory law. Any evidence at trial regarding the FAA's airworthiness certification process for the Beech 1900D is improper and must be precluded.

WHEREFORE, the defendants respectfully request that this Court enter an order *in limine* precluding the plaintiffs from challenging the FAA airworthiness certification process for the Beech 1900D.

RAYTHEON DEFENDANTS, By Counsel,

/s/ Peter C. Knight

Peter C. Knight, BBO # 276000 Tory A. Weigand, BBO #548553 MORRISON MAHONEY LLP 250 Summer Street Boston, MA 02210 Phone: 617-439-7500

-AND-

William L. Oliver, Jr. Esquire
Michael G. Jones, Esquire
MARTIN, PRINGLE, OLIVER, WALLACE
& BAUER, L.L.P.
100 North Broadway, Suite 500
Wichita, KS 67202

Phone: (316) 265-9311

I hereby certify that this document(s) filed through the ECF system will be sent electronically to the registered participants as identified on the Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF) and paper copies will be sent to those indicated as non registered participants on January 19, 2007.

/s/ Peter C. Knight

3